

# Technical Staff Report 1729

Permit permit quel

MSSW/WOD STAFF REPORT ROUTING SLIP

| APPLICATION NUMBER: 40 - 6  | 069-NICI A                            | 2/4                        | J 10-28-94<br>L 11-4-94 <sup>AGENDA</sup>             |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| NAME: North Ridge   | -07-016171                            | FOR 28#                    | 4 11-4-94 <sup>AGENDA</sup>                           |
| NAME: HOW Kidge   |                                       |                            | ·   |
| REVIEWER: M. Cook/En  | nice                                  |                            |   |
| FILE NAME: DIRECTORY  | NAME/MONTH.TS                         | GR.OWNER'S                 | NAME  |
| RELATED FILE NO.:   |                                       |                            |   |
| SITE INSPECTION REPORT IN   | NCLUDED? YES                          | NO NO                      |   |
| IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY THAT THE TSR IS ROUTED WITITLE.          | OF EACH INDIV                         | IDUAL LISTE<br>FRAMES DESI | CD BELOW TO INSURE<br>GNATED AFTER EACH               |
| TRANSMITTED TO:   | REC'D                                 | DUE                        | RET'D   |
| DATE TSR SUBMITTED  | 10/31                                 |                            |   |
| LEAD ESIANA (0) 31  | <u>SI</u>                             |                            |   |
| LEAD ENGINEER SS WI   | uli                                   |                            |   |
| TECHNICAL STAFF   |                                       | ,                          | ·   |
| DATE TSR TYPED  |                                       |                            |   |
| FIELD OFFICE DIRECTOR   | 11/1/94                               |                            | 11/1/94 My  |
| TYPING REVISIONS  |                                       | China walinda              |   |
| DATE SENT TO PALATKA  | 11-2-94                               | <u> </u>                   | 11-2-94 XDr   |
| LEGAL   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                            |   |
| CHIEF ENGINEER In S   | 11-294                                |                            | +SINCELEVERY  |
| CHIEF ES  | <del></del>                           |                            | NOV 0 3 1994  |
| DIRECTOR/<br>ASSISTANT DIRECTOR                                   |                                       |                            |   |
| TYPING REVISION   |                                       |                            |   |
| EXHIBITS YES SUPPLEMENTAL DATA YES MAPS YES LETTER OF CONCERN YES | NO                                    | PROVAL<br>NIAL (SUB)       | (40C-1.605)   |
| Excusto<br>For rising ?   | Jost work                             | look a regres or their ore | t Inventer?.  en't dished or  presented in  tart- Pat |

\_\_\_\_ Institutional (7)

\_ Roadwaý (8)

Other (9)

# TECHNICAL STAFF REPORT CHAPTER 40C-40, F.A.C.

GENERAL PERMIT APPLICATION NO.: 40-069-0161A

PROJECT: North Ridge PROJECT AREA: 71.89 (Ac.) ACREAGE OWNED: 71.89 (Ac.) AGENT ADDRESS: APPLICANT ADDRESS: Star Development McCoy and Associates Norman A. Rossman Rick E. McCoy, P.E. 721 West Avenue 7829 Greenbriar Parkway Orlando, FL 32819 Clermont, FL 34711 RECEIVING WATER BODY: Lake Willow and Jack's Lake CLASS: III HYDROLOGIC BASIN: Upper St. Johns River Sensitive Karst Areas Ocklawaha River Other Wekiva River N/A Econlockhatchee River EXISTING LAND USE: Abandon Citrus grove and lakes AUTHORITY: 40C-4.041 (1), (2)(b)2, 40C-40.302, and 40C-42.061, F.A.C.; OB O&M ENTITY: City of Clermont O&M Documents Submitted and Reviewed (X) N Correct Fee Submitted (X) N AUTHORIZATION STATEMENT: A permit authorizing Alteration Operation Maintenance Re-Issuance Removal Abandonment \_\_\_\_Modification \_\_\_\_Abandonment \_\_\_Modification & Operation X Construction & Operation of a surface water management system for a 71.89-acre subdivision to be known as North Ridge. The surface water management system includes 194 single-family residential lots, associated roads with curb and gutter, a 1.0-acre community recreational area with tennis courts and pool, seven dry retention basins, and Vegetative Natural Buffers. TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT: TYPE OF TREATMENT PROPOSED: (Check all applicable) (Check all applicable) X Retention (1) \_\_\_ Multifamily(2) \_\_\_ Dry Retention w/Underdrain (2) \_\_\_\_ Dry Retention w/Filtration (3) \_ Recreational (3) \_\_\_ Commercial (4) Wet Retention w/Filtration (4) \_\_\_ Industrial (5) \_\_\_ Agricultural (6) Exfiltration Trench (5) Wet Detention System (6)
Swales (7)

Swale with Ditch Block (8)

\_\_\_ Pervious Concrete (9)

\_\_\_ Wetlands (10) \_\_\_ Alternative (11) X Other (12) (VNB) \_\_\_\_Dry Retention (13)

# LOCATION AND BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF SYSTEM:

This application is for authorization to construct a 194-lot, three phase single-family residential subdivision. The project is located east of Grand Highwas between Lake Willow and Jack's Lake in the City of Clermont, Lake County, Section 20, Township 22S, and Range 26E.

| PRE/POST PEAK RATE ATTENUATION PROVIDED      | Y   | ( )   | . N | (  | ) ] | N/A | (X) |   |   |     |   |   |
|--|-----|-------|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|---|---|-----|---|---|
| PRE/POST VOLUME ATTENUATION PROVIDED         | Y   | (X)   | N   | (  | )   | N/A | ( ) |   |   |     |   |   |
| MEAN ANNUAL STORM ATTENUATION PROVIDED       | Y   | ( )   | N   | (  | )   | N/A | (X) |   |   |     |   |   |
| RECOVERY OF WATER QUALITY VOLUME WITHIN REQU | IRE | D TI  | ME  |    | Y   | (X) | N   | ( | ) | N/A | ( | ) |
| RECOVERY OF PEAK ATTENUATION VOLUME WITHIN F | ŒQU | JIREI | TI  | ME | Y   | (X) | N   | ( | ) | N/A | ( | ) |
|  |     |       |     |    |     |     |     |   |   |     |   |   |

### OTHER ENGINEERING COMMENTS:

Jack's Lake and Lake Willow are land-locked systems; therefore, the retention basins are sized to retain the difference in the 25-year 96-hour runoff volume between the post-development and pre-development conditions.

Due to the steep topography adjacent to the lakes, the entire lake front lots will be graded to drain to the rear. The applicant is proposing a 75-foot minimum width Vegetative Natural Buffer upland of the wetland line. The buffer width exceeds the required width, based on District criteria.

Portions of the project are located within the 100-year floodplain of Jack's Lake and Lake Willow. No fill within the 100-year floodplain is proposed.

The Vegetative Natural Buffer and the 100-year floodplain will be placed within a drainage and conservation easement.

The City of Clermont is the operation and maintenance entity.

The project, as proposed, is consistent with the goals and objectives of the District, as set forth in Chapters 40C-4, 40C-41, and 40C-42, F.A.C.

# ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST COMMENTS:

The proposed project site consists of a abandon citrus grove and lakes. There are portions of two lakes within the project site, Lake Willow and Jack's Lake, which totals 8.0 acres. The portions of the lakes within the project site are vegetated with cattails, water lilies, maiden cane, and Carolina willow. There is no wetland impacts proposed for the project, so mitigation will not be required.

Staff believes that the proposed project conforms with the wetland review criteria pursuant to Section 10.7.4 of the Applicant's Handbook. Staff feels that the proposed project will not have any unacceptable adverse secondary and cumulative impacts to wetlands, water quality, and upland habitat for aquatic and wetland dependent fish or wildlife listed as endangered, threatened, or of special concern.

### SEE ATTACHED:

BIOLOGICAL FIELD REPORT (PAA) PERMIT MITIGATION FORMS WETLAND INVENTORY

| TOTAL WETLANDS INVOLVED:                       | 8.0     |
|--|---------|
| TOTAL WETLANDS PRESERVED:                      | 0.0-8.0 |
| TOTAL WETLANDS DISTURBED:                      | 0.0     |
| TOTAL WETLANDS LOST:                           | 0.0     |
| 1. CONTIGUOUS TO WATERS:                       | 0.0     |
| 2. ISOLATED WETLANDS:                          | 0.0     |
| TOTAL WETLANDS RESTORED/CREATED AS MITIGATION: | 0.0     |
| TOTAL WETLANDS ENHANCED AS MITIGATION:         | 0.0     |
| ·  |         |

OTHER COMPENSATION:

CONDITIONS FOR APPLICATION NUMBER 40-069-0161A

**GENERAL:** 1 - 13

SEPTEMBER 25, 1991

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: 1, 2, 6, 16, 19, 37

# OTHER CONDITIONS:

1. The proposed surface water management system must be constructed and operated in accordance with the plans received by the District on August 22, 1994 (Sheets 13-26), as amended by Sheets 13-26, received by the District on October 7, 1994, and as amended by Sheets 3527 of 26, received by the District on 10/3/94.

# ATTACH "OTHER CONDITIONS" IF NECESSARY

# Forms to be included with permit:

|          | Completion Forms |                           |          | Inspection Forms |                 |  |  |  |
|----------|------------------|---------------------------|----------|------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| ·        | EN-44            | (Non_registered)          |          | EN-47            | (Non-reg.)      |  |  |  |
| <u>X</u> | EN-45            | (Registered Professional) | <u>X</u> | EN-46            | (Reg. Prof.)    |  |  |  |
|          | ·                |                           |          | EN-33            | (Karst)         |  |  |  |
|          | •                |                           |          | EN-31            | (Littoral Zone) |  |  |  |
|          |                  |                           | Othe     | r                |                 |  |  |  |

REVIEWERS: M. COOK/EUNICE

# TECHNICAL STAFF REPORT (TSR) CHECKLIST

| PROJECT | NAME:  | north     | Ridge    | • |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------|---|
| PROJECT | NUMBER | (5):40-06 | 3-0161AU |   |

# MANAGEMENT AND STORAGE OF SURFACE WATERS (MSSW):

- 1. SITE INSPECTION/FIELD REPORT and PERMIT APPLICATION APPRAISAL
- 2. WETLAND INVENTORY
- 3. PAGE TWO OF TWO (CARBON OF REVIEWER ASSIGNMENT SHEET OR HANDWRITTEN FACSIMILE)
- 4. PERMIT MITIGATION FORM (IF APPLICABLE)
- 5. LETTERS OF OBJECTION AND DISTRICT RESPONSE (IF APPLICABLE)
- 6. REFERENCED ATTACHMENTS

# WETLAND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (WRM):

- 1. SITE INSPECTION REPORT/FIELD REPORT AND PERMIT APPLICATION APPRAISAL (PAA)
- 2. WETLAND INVENTORY
- 3. PERMIT MITIGATION FORM (IF APPLICABLE)
- 4. LETTER OF OBJECTIONS AND DISTRICT RESPONSE (IF APPLICABLE)
- 5. PAGE TWO OF TWO CARBON OF REVIEWER ASSIGNMENT SHEET)
- 6. U.S.G.S. QUADRANGLE MAP COPY WITH PROJECT LOCATION HIGHLIGHTED
- 7. SIGNED AND SEALED, 8.5 % 11 SIZED, DRAWINGS
- 8. REFERENCED ATTACHMENTS
- 9. FDER COMMENTS/NO COMMENTS RECEIVED:

YES NO (CIRCLE ONE)
DATE PACKAGE SENT:
DATE COMMENTS RECEIVED:
FDEP COMMENT:

ITEM(S) MISSING AND/OR INCOMPLETE: ITEM NUMBER(S):

YES NO (CIRCLE ONE)

ALL ITEMS PRESENT AND COMPLETE: LEAD SIGN-OFF SIGNATURE:

VES/DA

TSRCHECK.LST REV:3/04/94

# PERMIT APPLICATION APPRAISAL FORM - BIOLOGICAL REPORT

| MSSW File Number: 40-069-0161A  | WRM File Number: N/A   |
|---|--|
| Project Name: North Ridge   | County: Lake   |
| Applicant: Star Development Attn Norman A Ross  | sman   |
| Waterbody: Lake Willow & Jack's Lake  | Class III  |
| Outstanding Florida Waterbody (if applicable):  | I/A  |
| Inspection by: David Eunice   | Date of Inspection: 9-16-94  |
| attach photographs and site map indicating photo stations and directions of descriptions. | of view. Attach sketches or notes on site plan if needed for clarification |
| MSSW Project Description (to be used on TSR and X   | tem not previously permitted by the District                               |
| Removal of a system Re-application for permit that has expired                            | Abandonment of a system Extension of permit prior to expiration            |
| The project consists of construction of a 71. surface water management system.            | 89 acre, 194-lot, single-family subdivision and                            |
|   |  |

WRM Project Description (to be used on TSR and permit)

Construction in waters of the State including the excavation of N/A  $\,$  cubic yards of material from N/A acres of wetlands and the placement of N/A of fill material in  $\,$  acres of .

(complete if applicable): The project also includes construction of a stormwater management system to serve the N/A acre project.

The system consists of N/A.

### Project History

pre-application meetings

related permits
previous/existing
violations(if they
exist)

Staff did not have a pre-application meeting with the applicant.

There are no related permits.

Staff is not aware of any violations associated with the proposed project.

# Construction Techniques and Turbidity Controls

if dredging in waters is proposed, describe the sediment characteristics

There is no impacts proposed for wetlands. Also, the applicant proposes to install the appropriate turbidity barriers to protect the wetlands adjecant to the limits of construction.

# Site Biophysical Characteristics

### Vegetative Community

community descriptionboth uplands and wetlands (assign each wetland an i.d. number for description purposed - see page 4 of form)

community types

condition of community

surrounding land use

The uplands are abandon citrus groves, which are vegetated with laurel oaks, and a variety of upland grasses.

Wetland I - is a 1.7 acre portion of Jack's lake that extends on the project site. This portion of the wetland is vegetated with scattered Carolina willows, cattails, water lillies, primrose willow, and maiden cane.

Wetland II - is a 6.3 acre portion of Willow Lake that extends on the project site. This portion of the wetland is vegetated with water lillies, cattails, maidencane, and primrose willow.

The surrounding area consists of abandon citrus groves, forested uplands, a single-family subdivision, and Lake Minneola.

| *  |   |
|--|---|
| Site Disturbances  degree and types of existing'site disturbances  | The wetlands is not recieving any point source discharges and very little non-point source discharge. Also, there is limited invasion of primrose willows in the wetlands.    |
| exotic/nuisance species  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
| ·  |   |
| Hydrologic<br>Characteristics  | The hydrology in the lakes appearred to be normal for the month of September.   |
| current conditions   |   |
| normal/historical conditions   |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
| Wildlife Use  observed or reasonably anticipated (including T or E species)  | Staff did not observe any wetland depended T or E species within the project site, nor did they observe any evidence that the wetlands were being utilized by T or E species. |
| role of site in overall trophic structure of area, including use by man attach macro-invertebrate assessment(if applies) | Staff believes that the wetlands provides good quality habitat and functions for fish, wading birds, and other wildlife species.  |
|  |   |
|  |   |
| Water Quality  characterize existing quality, include suspected cause of   | Staff believes that the water quality in these wetlands based on the water clarity and the lack of non-point and point source discharge into these systems.                   |

characterize existing quality, include suspected cause of current problems (if any exist)



# Wetland/Waterbody Impact Summary

| Wetland Encroachments (attach additional sheets if necessary) |              |                |                  |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--------------|----------------|------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | size         | impact         | isolated/        | ditch                                     |  |  |  |  |
| *   | (acres)      | acres/code*    | W.O.S.           | (yes/no) co-dominant species              |  |  |  |  |
| I .   | 1.7          | 0.0            | W.O.S.           | no cattails and water lillies             |  |  |  |  |
| II  | 6.3          | 0.0            | w.o.`s           | no water lilies and cattails              |  |  |  |  |
|   |              |                |                  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |              |                |                  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |              |                |                  | ·   |  |  |  |  |
| }   | ,            |                | ·                | <del></del>                               |  |  |  |  |
|   | ,            |                |                  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | -            |                | *                | codes:FH,FW,SH,SW(from wetland inventory) |  |  |  |  |
| Open Water En   | croachments: |                |                  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | size         | impact         | isolated/        | ditch                                     |  |  |  |  |
| site I.D.   | (acres)      | (acres)        | W.O.s.           | (yes/no)                                  |  |  |  |  |
| N/A   | ·            |                |                  | <del></del>                               |  |  |  |  |
|   | ·<br>        |                |                  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |              |                |                  | ·   |  |  |  |  |
| ,<br>   |              | · .            |                  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |              | Wetland Impact | :/Mitigation Pro | oposal Summary                            |  |  |  |  |

Wetland Impact/Mitigation Proposal Summary
There are no wetland impacts proposed, so mitigation will not be required.

| PAGE ) of )  JHSPECTION DATE: 9-16-94                               | DI EVIDENCE  |  |
|---|--------------|--|
| FILE #: 40-069-016) R rnoject Hame; Site Number: * Comments: I of C | S AND T > 50 | GROWN COVER CATTAINS  BOOKINGTON CATTAINS  BOOKINGTON CATTAINS  BOOKINGTON CONTROL MININGTON  CORRECTIONS  CONTROL  CONT |

\* I = isolated
C = connected

# MSSW WETLAND INVENTORY

PROJECT NAME: North Ridge PROJECT NUMBER: 40-069-0161A

(i.e. - not disturbed or lost)

A: TOTAL WETLAND ACREAGE:

B: TOTAL WETLANDS "PRESERVED":

CACRES 8.0"

C: TOTAL WETLANDS DISTURBED: O.O ACRES (temporary, not lost)

D: TOTAL WETLANDS LOST:

1. WATERS OF THE STATE ACREAGE: O,O ACRES
(SEE D/F#N/A)

2. CONTIGUOUS TO WATERS ACREAGE: 0.0 ACRES

3. ISOLATED ACREAGE: O,O ACRES

E. TOTAL WETLANDS CREATED AS MITIGATION:

F. TOTAL WETLANDS ENHANCED AS MITIGATION:  $\mathcal{O}_{,\mathcal{O}}$  ACRES

G. OTHER COMPENSATION:

A SUFFIX (FH), (FW), (SH), OR (SW) MUST BE APPENDED TO EACH ACREAGE VALUE.

REVIEWER: DAVID EUNICE

DATE: 10-31-94

ORL-PDS DHQ-PDS TSR