

October 22, 2014

Mr. Jim Stivender Lake County Department of Public Works Highway/Right of Way 437 Ardice Avenue Eustis, FL 32726

Dear Sir,

I am writing to you to inform you that a large oak tree growing on the county right of way is slowly beginning to lean towards my house. If it falls I believe it will land on the corner of my house and garage. This tree is located on Magnolia Ridge Road, which branches off Dogwood Drive, which branches off Picciola Drive. The tree in question is less than 25 feet from the centerline of the paved road surface.

The prudent thing for you to do would be to remove the tree before it causes significant damage to my property and dwelling. This is a very old tree with a lot of rot in its branches and trunk. Its root system has lifted noticeably on the side away from the dwelling, allowing it to lean towards the dwelling.

I see no reason why I should be responsible for and future damage that your tree might cause, especially since I have now informed you of the peril of a forthcoming event. Failure to take action would be negligent on your part.

I am sending a copy of this letter and risk assessment to my insurer to alert them to the risk of the forthcoming peril.

Also, I have enclosed a Basic Tree Risk Assessment Form prepared by a certified arborist concerning the tree in question.

I thank you for your attention to this matter, and hope to hear from you in the near future.

Sincerely,

William A. Pope, jr. 35542 Dogwood Drive

Fruitland Park, Fl 34731-5903

352-787-7480

Basic Tree Risk Assessment Form Date 10 -20 -14 Time 15:00 Client Willam PoDE Address/Tree location 35542 Dogwood de Fruitland Park 34731 Tree no. _____ Sheet __ / of / Tree species <u>Laurel</u> Oak dbh 6'3" Height 40 feet Crown spread dia. 40 feet F1-6658A Time frame year Tools used mallet, binoculars **Target Assessment** Target zone Practical to move target? Target within 1.5 x Ht. 2 - occasional Target description 3 - frequent 4 - constant system of the house, people 3 X 3 4 **Site Factors** History of failures <u>AROPING</u> of <u>dead</u> ______ Topography Flat Slope ☐ ______ % Aspect branches Site changes None ☑ Grade change ☐ Site clearing ☐ Changed soil hydrology ☐ Root cuts ☐ Describe Soil conditions Limited volume Saturated Shallow Compacted Pavement over roots M 40 % Describe asphalt, (county road) Prevailing wind direction _____ Common weather Strong winds I Ice Snow Heavy rain Describe significantly can increase low Tree Health and Species Profile None (dead) ☐ Normal _____% Chlorotic 10 % Necrotic 15 % Vigor Low M Normal □ High □ **Foliage** None (seasonal) □ Pests carpenter ants, borens, tunpus Pests carpenter ants, bonens, tunpus Abiotic Species failure profile Branches Trunk Roots Describe blg and small dead branches, decaying branches, Load Factors Ceaning trunk Relative crown size Small ☐ Medium 🗹 Large ☐ Wind exposure Protected ☐ Partial ☑ Full ☐ Wind funneling ☐ _____ Crown density Sparse Normal □ Dense □ Interior branches Few □ Normal □ Dense □ Vines/Mistletoe/Moss □ on the learing Side Recent or planned change in load factors <u>weather changes</u> Tree Defects and Conditions Affecting the Likelihood of Failure - Crown and Branches -Cracks & transverse cracks Unbalanced crown DY _ LCR 65 % Lightning damage □ Dead twigs/branches 2 % overall Max. dia. Codominant V partialy dead _____Included bark 🖳 Broken/Hangers Number 8 ___ Max. dia. _ Cavity/Nest hole $\frac{V}{N}$ % circ. Weak attachments Over-extended branches Similar branches present Previous branch failures **Pruning history** Dead/Missing bark ☐ Cankers/Galls/Burls ☑ Sapwood damage/decay Raised Crown cleaned □ Thinned Heartwood decay W_ white kot Conks 🖳 Lion-tailed □ 凹 Topped □ Reduced Other Flush cuts Response growth _ tangeting the house, road, nower this, TV carbles Load on defect N/A | Minor | Moderate | Significant | Wind or hard Rain can course a knihure

Likelihood of failure | Improbable | Possible | Probable | Imminent | Knanches use very week. - Trunk -- Roots and Root Collar -Abnormal bark texture/color 🗹 Collar buried/Not visible ☐ Depth_____ Stem girdling 1 Dead/Missing bark □ Codominant stems Included bark 🗗 Decay 🗗 Dead Conks/Mushrooms □ Sapwood damage/decay ' Cankers/Galls/Burls ' Sap ooze ' Cavity (1) % circ. Ooze 🗆 Cracks □ Cut/Damaged roots 🖫 Distance from trunk 1-2 kect Lightning damage ☐ Heartwood decay ☑ Conks/Mushrooms ☐ Cavity/Nest hole / % circ. Depth _____ Poor taper D Root plate lifting \\ Soil weakness □ Lean 20° Corrected? ___ Response growth not exhibiting Response growth ____ not exhibiting Main concern(s) It used to be straight now Main concern(s) week it's in progressive leaning Load on defect N/A □ Minor □ Moderate ☑ Significant □ Load on defect N/A ☐ Minor ☐ Moderate ☑ Significant ☐ Likelihood of failure Likelihood of failure Probable 🗗 Imminent \square Improbable Possible 🛘 Probable 🗹 Imminent Improbable Possible 🗆

Page Lof 2

								Risk Cate	egor	izat	ion														in si	
Condition number								Likelihood																		
	Tree part		Conditions of concern		Part size	Fall distance	Target number	Target protection	Failure				Impact			Failure & Impact (from Matrix 1)				Consequences				Diale		
									Improbable	Possible	Probable	Imminent	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Unlikely	Somewhat	Likely	Very likely	Negligible	Minor	Significant	Severe	Risk rating of part (from Matrix 2)	
	trunk		kaning		6,3	40	1				Х					X			X				X		high	
1							#		_		X				_	X			X				Х		high	
			dead		14"		2					Х				X				X			X		High	
2							3					X			Х				X			X			Modera	t
					//		1			i.	1				15										105	
3	dead branch N2		dead		12"		2			_	X				X				X		_	3/	_		High	
							3			<u> </u>	×				<u> </u>	X			X		_	X			Moder	
4	dead beanch		deerel		10"		2				X				,	X			X				Χ		High	
	N3				<u></u>														<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
Matı	ix I. Likeli	hood	matrix.								-				-	-		+			ļ	+			1	
1	elihood			Likelihood	of Imp	acting	Target				-	_			-			-				-				
		Very	y low Low ikely Somewhat likely		Medium Likely		n	High Very likely																		
		Unlik			Somewhat likely		likely	Likely					A TOTAL STATE STAT		-							10000				
		Unlik				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Somewhat like	ly		_		and the second													
	robable	Unlik		nlikely	<u> </u>	Unlikely	/	Unlikely			-	\dagger						<u> </u>		- Printer Pro-	almin amelika mala	1				
	ix 2. Risk ı		matrix.	Co.,			Fallure		\neg		-	_					***************************************		-	**************************************		-	\dashv			
Likelihood of Failure & Impact			Negligib	sequences of Failu nor Significan			Severe	\dashv		-				-								\dashv		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Very likely		у			erate			Extreme			~							-				area Caraca	-			
Likely			Low Mode				High									North										
Somewhat likely Unlikely			Low	ow		lerate ow	Moderate Low					2025300000			CHECKE CO.					de Marie			COLUMN TO SERVICE SERV			
			1				•	· 2 el	<u> </u>	∵ .			THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH			No.					,					
								in det																	ন্দ্রমান্ত জানাম	
loa	ning i	Rus	ne with	r stan	ns	or a	eca	y, capu	N.		رط			/			,			CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE						
de	coupling	PR	oot co	llar	, de	ropli	np !	branche	8.		,	يسمر				THE REAL PROPERTY.	Jan.			E. S.	No.					
The .			side <i>rect</i>				<u>, </u>			•		1		1		1	1		,		2000	in the second second	<u>nterro</u> zīć	THE CONTRACTOR	P	
								. Vust									RA	nci	res	<u>_</u> R	tesic	lual	risk			
				_	,		•	ich can		no	Rl	ers	<u>e</u>	R	182	- 4	HO.	R								
Λυ <u>-</u>	all tuca :	ick -	ating !	N A	ndorst-	п	ligh ₩	Evtrama [7]			1	Mar	k n=	ior!	tv	1 🗀	ا ا	4	2 F		i 🗆	ıual	1131	·		
	all tree i all resid			w□ Mo w□ Mo			-						-		-											
							_	d □No □Yes-	Tvne	e/Re					ucu			.011	.,,,,	. vai						
			1					es □Root coll	ar b	urie	d De	escri	be													
			,	Anasi	tasi.	A Z	ito	, ISA	Ce	eRi	tif	ieo		ar	60.	Rj.	st		FZ	-6	65	81	j			
ınıs d	atasneet was	produc	ed by the Inter	national Soci	ety of Ar	oricultui	(A61) 31	- 2013									•	~//						-	2 (2	