

ON CALL TRANSPORTATION AND TRAFFIC ENGINEERING SERVICES

#21-0940 Request For Statement of Qualifications (RSQ)



6. LITIGATION





S&ME, INC. FIVE YEAR LITIGATION SUMMARY

Benbrooke Dorchester Partners, LLC v S&ME, Inc.

No. 2017-CP-10-4196

In the Court of Common Pleas, State of South Carolina, County of Charleston

This suit involved unanticipated costs incurred during the renovation of a strip shopping center. The parties to the lawsuit negotiated a mutual settlement.

Construction Systems Inc. of Lumberton v S&ME, Inc., et al.

No. 17 CVS 9367

In the General Court of Justice, Superior Court Division, State of North Carolina, County of Cumberland

This suit involved additional costs for the construction of a city bus station. The parties to the lawsuit negotiated a mutual settlement.

Todd Johnson Contracting, Inc. v Mercer County Sanitation District et al.

C.A. # 18-CI-00061

In the Mercer Circuit Court, 50th Judicial Circuit, Commonwealth of Kentucky

This suit involves additional costs for the construction of sewer lines. S&ME's insurance carrier has acknowledged coverage and is defending this lawsuit.

Emily F. Bailey et.al. v S&ME, Inc.

C.A. No. 2018-CP-30-00935

In the Court of Common Pleas, State of South Carolina, County of Laurens

This suit involved additional costs for the rehabilitation of an earthen dam. The parties to the lawsuit negotiated a mutual settlement.

Darren J. Eddings v Pilot Travel Centers, LLC et al.

C.A. No. 2018-CP-32-02759

In the Court of Common Pleas, State of South Carolina, County of Lexington

This suit involved an automobile accident. The parties to the lawsuit negotiated a mutual settlement.

Fairfield County v Alliance Consulting Engineers, Inc. et al.

C.A. No. 2020-CP20-00059

In the Court of Common Pleas, State of South Carolina, County of Fairfield

This suit involves additional costs for the remediation of retaining walls. S&ME's insurance carrier has acknowledged coverage and is defending this lawsuit.



Centerstone of Tennessee, Inc. v Charles R. Curtis Construction, Inc. et al.

Case Number 22CC2019CV-24

In the Circuit Court for Dickson County, Tennessee

This suit involves additional costs for the repair of subsidence to a mixed-use building. The parties to the lawsuit negotiated a mutual settlement.

The Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County, Tennessee v BOP Nest Nashville TRS, LLC et al.

C.A. No. 20C323

In the Circuit Court for Davidson County, Tennessee

This suit involves the obstruction of a storm water line by earth reinforcing soil nails. S&ME was dismissed from this lawsuit.

Mount v Pulte Home Company, LLC and S&ME, Inc.

Case No: 6:20-cv-2314-Orl-37LRH

United States District Court Middle District of Florida, Orlando Division

This suit involves stormwater runoff from a newly developed residential subdivision. S&ME's insurance carrier has acknowledged coverage and is defending this lawsuit.

Sindicat Dunn v Mercer County Sanitation District et. al.

Civil Action Number 21-CI-00027

Commonwealth of Kentucky, 50th Judicial District, Mercer County Circuit Court

This suit involves property damage arising from the construction of a sewer line. S&ME's insurance carrier has acknowledged coverage and is defending this lawsuit.

ASI Constructors, Inc. v S&ME, Inc.

Civil Action No.: 5:17-cv-01444-LCB

In the United States District Court, Northern District of Alabama, Northeastern Division

This suit involves the construction of a water supply dam.

S&ME's insurance carrier has acknowledged coverage and is defending this lawsuit.

Gaston County Board of Education v Shelco, LLC et. al.

File Number: 20CVS 3924

In the General Court of Justice, Superior Court Division, Gaston County, North Carolina

This suit involves subsidence of a sports field.

S&ME's insurance carrier has acknowledged coverage and is defending this lawsuit.

Courtesy Properties, LLC v S&ME, Inc. et. al.

Civil Action File No. 1:20-cv-02097-SDG

In the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division

This suit involves additional costs for site development.

S&ME's insurance carrier has acknowledged coverage and is defending this lawsuit.