Evaluator Name: _Adam Sherk_

Firm Name: _Eisman & Russo_____

Criteria	Available Points	Awarded Points	Comments
Tab 1: Professional qualifications necessary satisfactory performance.	for 1-30	27	E&R has sufficient professional qualifications to perform well on a project of this nature.
Tab 2: Specialized experience and technical competence in the type of work required.	al 1-10	9	E&R has adequate experience with similar projects, many larger.
Tab 3: Past projects listed on contracts with government agencies and private industry.	1-10	9	Ample project history to complete this project.
Tab 4: The capacity to accomplish the work within their proposed completion schedule	1-15	14	E&R has the staff and record to complete within project schedule requirements.
Tab 5: Understanding of the project.	1-25	23	E&R provided a clear understanding of the whole scope.
Tab 6: Approach to the project	1-10	9	E&R provided a 3 step approach to this project that would lead to a quality and timely completion.
TOTAL / POSSIBLE	91/100		

RFP Scoring definitions

- 0-2 = "Poor": Proposal is lacking or inadequate in most basic requirements, specifications, or provisions for the specific criteria.
- 3-4 = "Below Average": Proposal meets many of the basic requirements, specifications or provisions of the specific item, but is lacking in some essential aspects for the specific criteria.
- The Market Section 5-6 = "Average": Proposal adequately meets the minimum requirements, specifications, or provisions of the specific riteria. The specific riteria. The specific riteria. The specific riteria. The specific riteria.

Evaluator Name: _Adam Sherk_

Firm Name:	Scaler

Criteria	Available Points	Awarded Points	Comments
Tab 1: Professional qualifications necessatisfactory performance.	sary for 1-30	24	Scaler has sufficient qualifications.
Tab 2: Specialized experience and tec competence in the type of work required.	hnical 1-10	7	Lacking experience with key aspect of project, the safety edge. Have exp. With all other aspects of project.
Tab 3: Past projects listed on contracts government agencies and priva industry.		10	Ample project history to complete this project.
Tab 4: The capacity to accomplish the within their proposed completion schedule	1 445	15	Provided detailed proposed schedule to complete project within schedule.
Tab 5: Understanding of the project.	1-25	22	Scaler provided a clear understanding of the project.
Tab 6: Approach to the project	1-10	8	Their approach was well put together and would likely yield a quality project.
TOTAL / POSSIBLE	86/100		

RFP Scoring definitions

- 0-2 = "Poor": Proposal is lacking or inadequate in most basic requirements, specifications, or provisions for the specific criteria.
- 3-4 = "Below Average": Proposal meets many of the basic requirements, specifications or provisions of the specific item, but is lacking in some essential aspects for the specific criteria.
- 5-6 = "Average": Proposal adequately meets the minimum requirements, specifications, or provisions of the specific item, and is generally capable of meeting Lake County's needs for the specific criteria.

Evaluator Name: _Adam Sherk_

Firm Na	ame: _ˈ	Tierra	

Criteria		Available Points	Awarded Points	Comments
Tab 1: Professional qualifications necessatisfactory performance.	ssary for	1-30	27	Tierra possesses the required professional qualification for this project.
Tab 2: Specialized experience and tec competence in the type of work required.		1-10	10	Tierra has excelled in similar work for Lake County. The have the required experience.
Tab 3: Past projects listed on contract government agencies and priva industry.		1-10	10	Ample Gov't projects, performed well on many past projects with Lake County.
Tab 4: The capacity to accomplish the within their proposed completion schedule		1-15	14	Being a one stop shop firm, there should be no reason for delays which will ensure a timely completion.
Tab 5: Understanding of the project.		1-25	25	Great knowledge of LAP projects, they worked up estimate which indicates a \$1M shortfall from Eng. est. Provided great feedback regarding asphalt concerns on plans.
Tab 6: Approach to the project		1-10	9	Their approach to the project would result in a smooth operation from start to finish.
TOTAL / POSSIBLE		95/100		

RFP Scoring definitions

If out of 10 points:

0-2 = "Poor": Proposal is lacking or inadequate in most basic requirements, specifications, or provisions for the specific criteria.

3-4 = "Below Average": Proposal meets many of the basic requirements, specifications or provisions of the specific item, but is lacking in some essential aspects for the specific criteria.

Evaluator Name: <u>Jimmy (Terry</u>) Scott Firm Name: <u>E'Smann & RUSSO</u>

Criteria		Available Points	Awarded Points	Comments
Tab 1: Professional qualifications nece satisfactory performance.	ssary for	1-30	20	
Tab 2: Specialized experience and tec competence in the type of work required.		1-10	7	
Tab 3: Past projects listed on contract government agencies and privaindustry.		1-10	7	
Tab 4: The capacity to accomplish the within their proposed completic schedule		1-15	9	
Tab 5: Understanding of the project.		1-25	10	
Tab 6: Approach to the project		1-10	7	
TOTAL / POSSIBLE	60) /100	and the second s	

RFP Scoring definitions

- 0-2 = "Poor": Proposal is lacking or inadequate in most basic requirements, specifications, or provisions for the specific criteria.
- 3-4 = "Below Average": Proposal meets many of the basic requirements, specifications or provisions of the specific item, but is lacking in some essential aspects for the specific criteria.
- 5-6 = "Average": Proposal adequately meets the minimum requirements, specifications, or provisions of the specific item, and is generally capable of meeting Lake County's needs for the specific criteria.

Evaluator Name: Jimmy (Terry) Scott

Firm Name: <u>Scalar</u>

Criteria	Available Points	Awarded Points	Comments
Tab 1: Professional qualifications necessary for satisfactory performance.	1-30	20	
Tab 2: Specialized experience and technical competence in the type of work required.	1-10	6	
Tab 3: Past projects listed on contracts with government agencies and private industry.	1-10	6	
Tab 4: The capacity to accomplish the work within their proposed completion schedule	1-15	8	
Tab 5: Understanding of the project.	1-25	15	
Tab 6: Approach to the project	1-10	6	
TOTAL / POSSIBLE 6/	/100		

RFP Scoring definitions

- 0-2 = "Poor": Proposal is lacking or inadequate in most basic requirements, specifications, or provisions for the specific criteria.
- 3-4 = "Below Average": Proposal meets many of the basic requirements, specifications or provisions of the specific item, but is lacking in some essential aspects for the specific criteria.
- 5-6 = "Average": Proposal adequately meets the minimum requirements, specifications, or provisions of the specific item, and is generally capable of meeting Lake County's needs for the specific criteria.

Evaluator Name: 1 mmy (Tevry) Scott

Firm Name: Tierra

Criteria	Available Points	Awarded Points	Comments
Tab 1: Professional qualifications necessary for satisfactory performance.	1-30	28	
Tab 2: Specialized experience and technical competence in the type of work required.	1-10	8	
Tab 3: Past projects listed on contracts with government agencies and private industry.	1-10	10	
Tab 4: The capacity to accomplish the work within their proposed completion schedule	1-15	12	
Tab 5: Understanding of the project.	1-25	22	
Tab 6: Approach to the project	1-10	9	
TOTAL / POSSIBLE 89	/100		

RFP Scoring definitions

- 0-2 = "Poor": Proposal is lacking or inadequate in most basic requirements, specifications, or provisions for the specific criteria.
- 3-4 = "Below Average": Proposal meets many of the basic requirements, specifications or provisions of the specific item, but is lacking in some essential aspects for the specific criteria.
- 5-6 = "Average": Proposal adequately meets the minimum requirements, specifications, or provisions of the specific item, and is generally capable of meeting Lake County's needs for the specific criteria.

Evaluator Name: Michael Olka Firm Name: Eisman & Russo

Criteria		Available Points	Awarded Points	Comments
Tab 1: Professional qualifications neces satisfactory performance.	ssary for	1-30	15	
Tab 2: Specialized experience and tec competence in the type of work required.		1-10	5	
Tab 3: Past projects listed on contract government agencies and privaindustry.		1-10	5	
Tab 4: The capacity to accomplish the within their proposed completio schedule		1-15	8	
Tab 5: Understanding of the project.		1-25	12	
Tab 6: Approach to the project		1-10	5	
			50	
TOTAL / POSSIBLE		/100		

RFP Scoring definitions

- 0-2 = "Poor": Proposal is lacking or inadequate in most basic requirements, specifications, or provisions for the specific criteria.
- 3-4 = "Below Average": Proposal meets many of the basic requirements, specifications or provisions of the specific item, but is lacking in some essential aspects for the specific criteria.
- the specific item, and is generally capable of meeting Lake County's needs for the specific criteria.

Evaluator Name: Michael Oika

Firm Name:	Scalar	

Criteria		Available Points	Awarded Points	Comments
Tab 1: Professional qualifications nece satisfactory performance.	ssary for	1-30	15	
Tab 2: Specialized experience and te competence in the type of wor required.		1-10	5	
Tab 3: Past projects listed on contrac government agencies and priv industry.		1-10	5	·
Tab 4: The capacity to accomplish the within their proposed complete schedule		1-15	8	
Tab 5: Understanding of the project.		1-25	16	Provided the number of homes that are within the limits that should be monitored for vibrations.
Tab 6: Approach to the project		1-10	7	Reviewed plans and looked for opportunities to reduce the project cost.
TOTAL / POSSIBLE		/100	56	

RFP Scoring definitions

- 0-2 = "Poor": Proposal is lacking or inadequate in most basic requirements, specifications, or provisions for the specific criteria.
- 3-4 = "Below Average": Proposal meets many of the basic requirements, specifications or provisions of the specific item, but is lacking in some essential aspects for the specific criteria.
- 5-6 = "Average": Proposal adequately meets the minimum requirements, specifications, or provisions of the specific item, and is generally capable of meeting Lake County's needs for the specific criteria.

Evaluator Name:	Michael Olka	Firm Name:	Tierra
-----------------	--------------	------------	--------

Criteria	Available Points	Awarded Points	Comments
Tab 1: Professional qualifications necessary for satisfactory performance.	1-30 .	20	Providing staff with LAP experience that have worked on LAP projects with Lake County.
Tab 2: Specialized experience and technical competence in the type of work required.	1-10	8	Able to provide all services in-house.
Tab 3: Past projects listed on contracts with government agencies and private industry.	1-10	8	Listed previous FDOT/Lake County LAP projects. Providing staff that have worked on LAP projects with Lake County.
Tab 4: The capacity to accomplish the work within their proposed completion schedule	1-15	8	
Tab 5: Understanding of the project.	1-25	20	Provided exhibits that showed areas that were in the safety study, but were not included in the plans for the project.
Tab 6: Approach to the project	1-10	9	Reviewed safety study that initiated the project, reviewed limits of the work, provided a cost estimate for project.
TOTAL / POSSIBLE	/100	73	

RFP Scoring definitions

- 0-2 = "Poor": Proposal is lacking or inadequate in most basic requirements, specifications, or provisions for the specific criteria.
- 3-4 = "Below Average": Proposal meets many of the basic requirements, specifications or provisions of the specific item, but is lacking in some essential aspects for the specific criteria.
- 5-6 = "Average": Proposal adequately meets the minimum requirements, specifications, or provisions of the specific item, and is generally capable of meeting Lake County's needs for the specific criteria.