Evaluator Name: _Adam Sherk	Firm Name:	Eisman & Russo
-----------------------------	------------	----------------

Criteria .	Available Points	Awarded Points	Comments
Tab 1: Professional qualifications necessary for satisfactory performance.	1-20	20	E&R staff list provides ample qualified personnel to complete this project.
Tab 2: Specialized experience and technical competence in the type of work required.	1-15	15	They exceed the experience & technical requirements to perform this project.
Tab 3: Past projects listed on contracts with government agencies and private industry.	1-15	14	All past work provided is governmental but appears to exceed requirements for this project.
Tab 4: The capacity to accomplish the work within their proposed completion schedule	1-10	8	Proposed schedule was not as detailed as others. With their work history, and project approach, they would be able to complete the project within schedule and with high quality.
Tab 5: Understanding of the project.	1-20	17	They provided a very clear of the project scope. Potential issues were identified, and good solutions proposed.
Tab 6: Approach to the project	1-20	18	The proposed detailed approach appears to be thorough and will lead to a successful quality project.
TOTAL / POSSIBLE	92/100	<u> </u>	

If out of 10 points:

0-2 = "Poor": Proposal is lacking or inadequate in most basic requirements, specifications, or provisions for the specific criteria.

3-4 = "Below Average": Proposal meets many of the basic requirements, specifications or provisions of the specific item, but is lacking in some essential aspects for the specific criteria.

Evaluator Name: _Adam Sherk	Firm Name:	_EXP US Services
-----------------------------	------------	------------------

Criteria	Available Points	Awarded Points	Comments
Tab 1: Professional qualifications necessary for satisfactory performance.	1-20	12	EXP meets the requirements for this category but does not have as many qualified professionals as other firms.
Tab 2: Specialized experience and technical competence in the type of work required.	1-15	12	Their staff experience is considered above average for this project. Not as much supporting evidence as provided by others.
Tab 3: Past projects listed on contracts with government agencies and private industry.	1-15	11	Not as much work history provided as other vendors. Project descriptions and involvement was not described as well as others. They do have the required experience though.
Tab 4: The capacity to accomplish the work within their proposed completion schedule	1-10	4	No proposed schedule though they describe having a staff scheduling "expert". Simply stated begin and end project dates.
Tab 5: Understanding of the project.	1-20	6	The provided understanding of the project was vague. Details appeared to be mostly focused on MOT. Very little discussion on project scope or technical aspects.
Tab 6: Approach to the project	1-20	8	Their approach to the project appears to focus heavily on MOT and public notification. Not enough description of how they would effectively manage the contract.
TOTAL / POSSIBLE	53/100	······································	

If out of 10 points:

0-2 = "Poor": Proposal is lacking or inadequate in most basic requirements, specifications, or provisions for the specific criteria.

Evaluator Name: _Adam Sherk	Firm Name:RS&H
-----------------------------	----------------

Criteria		Available Points	Awarded Points	Comments
Tab 1: Professional qualifications necessatisfactory performance.	essary for	1-20	16	RS&H has the required professional qualifications to complete this project. They appear to have less available staff than other vendors.
Tab 2: Specialized experience and te competence in the type of wor required.		1-15	15	They exceed the experience & technical requirements to perform this project.
Tab 3: Past projects listed on contract government agencies and privindustry.		1-15	13	All past work provided is governmental but appears to be sufficient for this project.
Tab 4: The capacity to accomplish the within their proposed completion schedule		1-10	4	Proposed schedule was very basic and non-informative. No specific plan for scheduling.
Tab 5; Understanding of the project.		1-20	8	They provided a very vague description and understanding of the project.
Tab 6: Approach to the project	and the second s	1-20	9	There is not specific approach to the project described. Their project administration would appear to lead to an average project.
TOTAL / POSSIBLE		65/100		

- 0-2 = "Poor": Proposal is lacking or inadequate in most basic requirements, specifications, or provisions for the specific criteria.
- 3-4 = "Below Average": Proposal meets many of the basic requirements, specifications or provisions of the specific item, but is lacking in some essential aspects for the specific criteria.
- 5-6 = "Average": Proposal adequately meets the minimum requirements, specifications, or provisions of the specific item, and is generally capable of meeting Lake County's needs for the specific criteria.

Evaluator Name: _Adam Sherk	Firm Name:Scalar Consulting	
-----------------------------	-----------------------------	--

Criteria	Available Points	Awarded Points	Comments
Tab 1: Professional qualifications necessary for satisfactory performance.	1-20	18	Ample professional staff to complete the project successfully.
Tab 2: Specialized experience and technical competence in the type of work required.	1-15	15	They exceed the experience & technical requirements to perform this project.
Tab 3: Past projects listed on contracts with government agencies and private industry.	1-15	13	All past work provided is governmental but appears to be sufficient for this project.
Tab 4: The capacity to accomplish the work within their proposed completion schedule	1-10	9	Proposed schedule was very detailed. With this and their work history, they should be able to complete the project within schedule and with high quality.
Tab 5: Understanding of the project.	1-20	17	They provided a very clear and concise understanding of the project scope.
Tab 6: Approach to the project	1-20	17	The proposed detailed approach appears to be thorough and will lead to a successful quality project.
TOTAL / POSSIBLE	91/100	\$9	

- 0-2 = "Poor": Proposal is lacking or inadequate in most basic requirements, specifications, or provisions for the specific criteria.
- 3-4 = "Below Average": Proposal meets many of the basic requirements, specifications or provisions of the specific item, but is lacking in some essential aspects for the specific criteria.
- 5-6 = "Average": Proposal adequately meets the minimum requirements, specifications, or provisions of the specific item, and is generally capable of meeting Lake County's needs for the specific criteria.

Eirm	Name:	Tierra, Inc	
	mame:	Herra, Inc	

Criteria	Available Points	Awarded Points	Comments
Tab 1: Professional qualifications necessa satisfactory performance.	ry for 1-20	19	Ample Staff to cover project effectively and efficiently.
Tab 2: Specialized experience and techn competence in the type of work required.	ical 1-15	15	They exceed the experience & technical requirements to perform this project.
Tab 3: Past projects listed on contracts w government agencies and private industry.	rith 1-15	13	All past work provided is governmental but appears more than sufficient for this project. Along with past work with Lake County.
Tab 4: The capacity to accomplish the wowlthin their proposed completion schedule	ork 1-10	10	Tierra, Inc has the capacity to complete this project within proposed schedule. As they are a "Full Service Firm" this would minimize scheduling conflicts with subcontractors.
Tab 5: Understanding of the project.	1-20	19	They provided an exceptional understanding of the project scope. Proposed changes in HMA stacking make sense and would provide a more durable product in a timelier and cost effective manner.
Tab 6: Approach to the project	1-20	17	Their proposed approach to the project would ensure a smoothly operated project.
TOTAL / POSSIBLE	93/100		

If out of 10 points:

0-2 = "Poor": Proposal is lacking or inadequate in most basic requirements, specifications, or provisions for the specific criteria.

3-4 = "Below Average": Proposal meets many of the basic requirements, specifications or provisions of the specific item, but is lacking in some essential aspects for the specific criteria.

Firm Name: Eisman & Russo

Criteria	Available Points	Awarded Points	Comments
Tab 1: Professional qualifications necessary for satisfactory performance.	1-20	14	
Tab 2: Specialized experience and technical competence in the type of work required.	1-15	9	
Tab 3: Past projects listed on contracts with government agencies and private industry.	1-15	1(
Tab 4: The capacity to accomplish the work within their proposed completion schedule	1-10	5	
Tab 5: Understanding of the project.	1-20	14	
Tab 6: Approach to the project	1-20	11	
TOTAL / POSSIBLE 64	/100		

RFP Scoring definitions

- 0-2 = "Poor": Proposal is lacking or inadequate in most basic requirements, specifications, or provisions for the specific criteria.
- 3-4 = "Below Average": Proposal meets many of the basic requirements, specifications or provisions of the specific item, but is lacking in some essential aspects for the specific criteria.
- 5-6 = "Average": Proposal adequately meets the minimum requirements, specifications, or provisions of the specific item, and is generally capable of meeting Lake County's needs for the specific criteria.

Firm Name: Exp U, S. Services FAC.

Criteria		Available Points	Awarded Points	Comments
Tab 1: Professional qualifications necessatisfactory performance.	essary for	1-20	9	
Tab 2: Specialized experience and to competence in the type of wor required.		1-15	8	
Tab 3: Past projects listed on contract government agencies and privindustry.		1-15	11	
Tab 4: The capacity to accomplish th within their proposed completi schedule		1-10	4	
Tab 5: Understanding of the project.		1-20	10	
Tab 6: Approach to the project		1-20	10	
TOTAL / POSSIBLE	52	/100		

RFP Scoring definitions

- 0-2 = "Poor": Proposal is lacking or inadequate in most basic requirements, specifications, or provisions for the specific criteria.
- 3-4 = "Below Average": Proposal meets many of the basic requirements, specifications or provisions of the specific item, but is lacking in some essential aspects for the specific criteria.
- 5-6 = "Average": Proposal adequately meets the minimum requirements, specifications, or provisions of the specific item, and is generally capable of meeting Lake County's needs for the specific criteria.

Firm Name: 25 & H

Criteria	Available Points	Awarded Points	Comments
Tab 1: Professional qualifications necessary for satisfactory performance.	1-20	12	
Tab 2: Specialized experience and technical competence in the type of work required.	1-15	10	
Tab 3: Past projects listed on contracts with government agencies and private industry.	1-15		
Tab 4: The capacity to accomplish the work within their proposed completion schedule	1-10	6	
Tab 5: Understanding of the project.	1-20		
Tab 6: Approach to the project	1-20	7	
TOTAL / POSSIBLE 53	/100		

RFP Scoring definitions

- 0-2 = "Poor": Proposal is lacking or inadequate in most basic requirements, specifications, or provisions for the specific criteria.
- 3-4 = "Below Average": Proposal meets many of the basic requirements, specifications or provisions of the specific item, but is lacking in some essential aspects for the specific criteria.
- 5-6 = "Average": Proposal adequately meets the minimum requirements, specifications, or provisions of the specific item, and is generally capable of meeting Lake County's needs for the specific criteria.

Firm Name: Scalar

Criteria	Available Points	Awarded Points	Comments
Tab 1: Professional qualifications necessary for satisfactory performance.	1-20	14	
Tab 2: Specialized experience and technical competence in the type of work required.	1-15	12	
Tab 3: Past projects listed on contracts with government agencies and private industry.	1-15	10	
Tab 4: The capacity to accomplish the work within their proposed completion schedule	1-10	7	
Tab 5: Understanding of the project.	1-20	13	
Tab 6: Approach to the project	1-20	14	
TOTAL / POSSIBLE 69	/100	MINISTER PROPERTY.	

RFP Scoring definitions

- 0-2 = "Poor": Proposal is lacking or inadequate in most basic requirements, specifications, or provisions for the specific criteria.
- 3-4 = "Below Average": Proposal meets many of the basic requirements, specifications or provisions of the specific item, but is lacking in some essential aspects for the specific criteria.
- 5-6 = "Average": Proposal adequately meets the minimum requirements, specifications, or provisions of the specific item, and is generally capable of meeting Lake County's needs for the specific criteria.

Firm Name: Tierra INC.

Criteria	Available Points	Awarded Points	Comments
Tab 1: Professional qualifications necessary for satisfactory performance.	1-20	16	
Tab 2: Specialized experience and technical competence in the type of work required.	1-15	12	
Tab 3: Past projects listed on contracts with government agencies and private industry.	1-15	14	
Tab 4: The capacity to accomplish the work within their proposed completion schedule	1-10	8	
Tab 5: Understanding of the project.	1-20	14	40
Tab 6: Approach to the project	1-20	14	
TOTAL / POSSIBLE 78	/100		

RFP Scoring definitions

- 0-2 = "Poor": Proposal is lacking or inadequate in most basic requirements, specifications, or provisions for the specific criteria.
- 3-4 = "Below Average": Proposal meets many of the basic requirements, specifications or provisions of the specific item, but is lacking in some essential aspects for the specific criteria.
- 5-6 = "Average": Proposal adequately meets the minimum requirements, specifications, or provisions of the specific item, and is generally capable of meeting Lake County's needs for the specific criteria.

Evaluator Name: Michael Olka

Firm Name: Eisemen and Russo

Criteria	Available Points	Awarded Points	Comments
Tab 1: Professional qualifications necessary for satisfactory performance.	1-20	9	Qualifications for surveyor were not provided.
Tab 2: Specialized experience and technical competence in the type of work required.	1-15	8	
Tab 3: Past projects listed on contracts with government agencies and private industry.	1-15	8	
Tab 4: The capacity to accomplish the work within their proposed completion schedule	1-10	5	Only 2 inspectors listed. Inspectors currently working on other projects.
Tab 5: Understanding of the project.	1-20	10	
Tab 6: Approach to the project	1-20	10	,
TOTAL / POSSIBLE	50/1 00		

RFP Scoring definitions

- 0-2 = "Poor": Proposal is lacking or inadequate in most basic requirements, specifications, or provisions for the specific criteria.
- 3-4 = "Below Average": Proposal meets many of the basic requirements, specifications or provisions of the specific item, but is lacking in some essential aspects for the specific criteria.
- 5-6 = "Average": Proposal adequately meets the minimum requirements, specifications, or provisions of the specific item, and is generally capable of meeting Lake County's needs for the specific criteria.

Evaluator Name: Michael Olka

Firm Name: EXP U.S. Services Inc.

Criteria	Available Points	Awarded Points	Comments
Tab 1: Professional qualifications necessary for satisfactory performance.	1-20	8	No mention of ROW survey.
Tab 2: Specialized experience and technical competence in the type of work required.	1-15	8	
Tab 3: Past projects listed on contracts with government agencies and private industry.	1-15	8 .	,
Tab 4: The capacity to accomplish the work within their proposed completion schedule	1-10	6	
Tab 5: Understanding of the project.	1-20	11	
Tab 6: Approach to the project	1-20	10	
TOTAL / POSSIBLE	51 /100		

RFP Scoring definitions

If out of 10 points:

0-2 = "Poor": Proposal is lacking or inadequate in most basic requirements, specifications, or provisions for the specific criteria.

3-4 = "Below Average": Proposal meets many of the basic requirements, specifications or provisions of the specific item, but is lacking in some essential aspects for the specific criteria.

5-6 = "Average": Proposal adequately meets the minimum requirements, specifications, or provisions of the specific item, and is generally capable of meeting Lake County's needs for the specific criteria.

Evaluato Name. Michael Oka	Evaluator Name:	Michael Olka	
----------------------------	-----------------	--------------	--

Firm Name:	RS&H	
i ii ii i ivajije,	NOOL	

Criteria	Available Points	Awarded Points	Comments
Tab 1: Professional qualifications necessary for satisfactory performance.	1-20	12	Qualifications for inspectors and survey provided.
Tab 2: Specialized experience and technical competence in the type of work required.	1-15	8	
Tab 3: Past projects listed on contracts with government agencies and private industry.	1-15	8	·
Tab 4: The capacity to accomplish the work within their proposed completion schedule	1-10	6	
Tab 5: Understanding of the project.	1-20	10	
Tab 6: Approach to the project	1-20	10	
TOTAL / POSSIBLE	54/ 100		

- 0-2 = "Poor": Proposal is lacking or inadequate in most basic requirements, specifications, or provisions for the specific criteria.
- 3-4 = "Below Average": Proposal meets many of the basic requirements, specifications or provisions of the specific item, but is lacking in some essential aspects for the specific criteria.
- 5-6 = "Average": Proposal adequately meets the minimum requirements, specifications, or provisions of the specific item, and is generally capable of meeting take County's needs for the specific criteria.

Evaluator Name: Michael Olka

Firm Name: Scalar

Criteria	Available Points	Awarded Points	Comments
Tab 1: Professional qualifications necessary for satisfactory performance.	1-20	9	Qualifications for surveyor were not provided.
Tab 2: Specialized experience and technical competence in the type of work required.	1-15	9	
Tab 3: Past projects listed on contracts with government agencies and private industry.	1-15	8	
Tab 4: The capacity to accomplish the work within their proposed completion schedule	1-10	6	
Tab 5: Understanding of the project.	1-20	10	
Tab 6: Approach to the project	1-20	12	
TOTAL / POSSIBLE	54 /100		

RFP Scoring definitions

- 0-2 = "Poor": Proposal is lacking or inadequate in most basic requirements, specifications, or provisions for the specific criteria.
- 3-4 = "Below Average": Proposal meets many of the basic requirements, specifications or provisions of the specific item, but is lacking in some essential aspects for the specific criteria.
- 5-6 = "Average": Proposal adequately meets the minimum requirements, specifications, or provisions of the specific item, and is generally capable of meeting Lake County's needs for the specific criteria.

Evaluator	Name:	Michael Olka	
,0,0,00	, , , , , , , , , , ,		

Firm Name:	Tierra
------------	--------

Criteria	Available Points	Awarded Points	Comments
Tab 1: Professional qualifications necessary for satisfactory performance.	1-20	9	Qualifications for surveyor were not provided.
Tab 2: Specialized experience and technical competence in the type of work required.	1-15	9	
Tab 3: Past projects listed on contracts with government agencies and private industry.	1-15	9	
Tab 4: The capacity to accomplish the work within their proposed completion schedule	1-10	6	
Tab 5: Understanding of the project.	1-20	12	Proposed modifying the asphalt design to avoid overlapping joints
Tab 6: Approach to the project	1-20	10	
TOTAL / POSSIBLE	55 /100		

- 0-2 = "Poor": Proposal is lacking or inadequate in most basic requirements, specifications, or provisions for the specific criteria.
- 3-4 = "Below Average": Proposal meets many of the basic requirements, specifications or provisions of the specific item, but is lacking in some essential aspects for the specific criteria.
- 5-6 = "Average": Proposal adequately meets the minimum requirements, specifications, or provisions of the specific Item, and is generally capable of meeting Lake County's needs for the specific criteria.